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#### Abstract

We examined adult specimens of the Mystacides azureus Species Group (Trichoptera: Leptoceridae) collected in Japan and confirm three species including M. azureus Linnaeus 1761 and two new species, M. rivularis and M. moritai. Males and females of the new species are described. Mystacides azureus in Japan is shown to have a considerable variation in morphology of the male tergum X. We analyzed mitochondrial COI barcodes of the genus Mystacides including these three species to confirm their species status. A maximum likelihood phylogeny based on COI barcodes shows monophyly of the new species. It also supports the hypothesis that morphological variation of the male tergum X in Japanese populations is intraspecific in only M. azureus.
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## Introduction

The genus Mystacides Berthold 1827 is distributed in the Oriental and Holarctic regions and contains 20 described species (Morse 2022). Yamamoto \& Ross (1966) classified the genus into four species groups based chiefly on morphology of the male genitalia. Morse \& Yang (2002) revised the grouping using cladistic analysis and also recognized four species groups, partially agreeing with the former grouping.

The Mystacides azureus Species Group, which is characterized by the morphology of the male phallus, such as lacking parameres, includes 10 species [ 9 species specified by Morse \& Yang (2002) and M. dabrynos Malicky 2012] and is distributed mainly in the Oriental and East Palaearctic regions. In Japan, only one species has been recorded in this group, Mystacides azureus Linnaeus 1761, which has wide distribution from Europe to Far East Russia.

During an examination of a large number of Mystacides specimens collected in various locations in Japan, we found two potentially undescribed species belonging to the M. azureus Species Group, with morphologies distinct from that of M. azureus. Moreover, M. azureus was found to have significant variation in the morphology of male genitalia. We used a mitochondrial COI barcode phylogeny to confirm that the morphological distinctions observed among the provisional Mystacides species correspond with phylogenetic evidence, supporting our hypotheses, and describe the two new species. The DNA barcodes also revealed paraphyly within the M. azureus Species Group distributed across Asia and Europe, confirming morphological variations, thus suggesting that additional cryptic species diversity occurs within the group.

## Materials and methods

## Morphological study

Terminology of genitalia follows that of Morse \& Yang (2002). Types of the newly described species are deposited in the collections of Systematic Entomology, Hokkaido University. Unless otherwise stated, types are preserved in $70-80 \%$ ethanol. Collectors are abbreviated as follows: NK (N. Kuhara), SN (S. Nakamura), TI (T. Ito), and TN (T. Nozaki). In the material list of M. azureus, the number of males is counted separately with respect to the shape of tergum X: short type (no indication), long-twist type (abbreviated as "L"), intermediate between these two types ("I") and reversal type ("R") (details provided below and in Table 1).

TABLE 1. Number of specimens for each type of male segment X in Mystacides azureus.

| Island/Population | Short type | Long-twist type (L) | Intermediate (I) | Reversal type (R) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hokkaidô I. | 146 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Honshû I. (excl. Ômachi Pop.) | 75 | 26 | 11 | 0 |
| Ômachi Pop. | 0 | 5 | 4 | 26 |
| Shikoku I. | 16 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| Kyûshû I. | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

As reported by Morse \& Yang (2002), antisymmetry of male tergum X occurs in all known species of the $M$. sepulchralis Species Group and in at least M. azureus, M. elongatus Yamamoto \& Ross 1966, M. khasicus Kimmins 1963, and M. superatus Yang \& Morse 2000 of the M. azureus Species Group, with the left and right positions of the long-curved spines and short-sinuous spines commonly exchanged. This antisymmetry occurs not only is those species but also in the two new species described here. In the illustrations of variation, asterisks indicate mirrorreversed images for easy comparison.

To compare eye-proportions, we measured the length of the left eye and the distance between eyes in selected specimens of both sexes for three species following the method of Gullefors and Petersson (1993).

## DNA extraction and COI barcode amplification

Genomic DNA were extracted from a single leg from each of the examined specimens. The standard COI barcode region ( 658 bp ) was amplified using primers and PCR programs following Zhou et al. (2016). Barcode amplicons were sequenced on an ABI $3730 x 1$ DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Sequences generated for this project were deposited in the BOLD project JPMYS (boldsystems.org) and in GenBank (Specimen details and Accession numbers provided in Table 2).

## Phylogenetic analyses

Additional barcode sequences for Mystacides collected in Asian, American, and European countries were also obtained from published BOLD projects (Table 2). Barcode sequences longer than 500 bp were included in the phylogenetic analyses. A maximum likelihood-based approach was employed to build the phylogenetic tree using IQ-TREE (v2.2.0, Minh et al., 2020). ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) and ultrafast bootstrap approximation (Hoang et al. 2017), which were both built in this software, calculated that $\mathrm{HKY}+\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{R} 3$ as the best-fit substitution model according to Bayesian information criterion scores (BIC). And branch supports were accessed with 1000-bootstrap replicates.

TABLE 2. Specimen information used for mitochondrial phylogeny.

| Sample ID | Species | Identifier | Lat | Lon | COI-5P <br> Accession | BIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 013265640 | Mystacides azureus |  | 53.1268 | -4.13319 |  | BOLD:AAB1494 |
| 08HMCAD-134 | M. azureus | Hans Malicky | 46.0667 | 12.7667 | KX107257 | BOLD:AAB1494 |
| 08HMCAD-135 | M. azureus | Hans Malicky | 46.0667 | 12.7667 | KX107258 | BOLD:AAB1494 |
| 08HMCAD-137 | M. azureus | Hans Malicky | 46.0667 | 12.7667 | KX106462 | BOLD:AAB1494 |
| 08HMCAD-138 | M. azureus | Hans Malicky | 46.0667 | 12.7667 | KX106997 | BOLD:AAB1494 |
| 08NWCAD-017 | M. azureus | Trond Andersen | 59.85 | 9.43333 | KX105010 | BOLD:AAB1494 |
| 08NWCAD-018 | M. azureus | Trond Andersen | 59.85 | 9.43333 | KX103290 | BOLD:AAB1494 |
| 08OFCAD-1507 | M. alafimbriatus | Oliver S. Flint, Jr. | 48.6733 | -122.315 | JQ935392 | BOLD:AAD9796 |
| 09CAUTR-032 | M. dentatus | Xin Zhou | 40.6455 | 117.422 | HM405385 | BOLD:ACF1811 |
| 09CAUTR-033 | M. dentatus | Xin Zhou | 40.6455 | 117.422 | HM405386 | BOLD:ACF1811 |
| 09CAUTR-034 | M. dentatus | Xin Zhou | 40.6455 | 117.422 | HM405387 | BOLD:ACF1811 |
| 09CAUTR-035 | M. dentatus | Xin Zhou | 40.6455 | 117.422 | HM405388 | BOLD:ACF1811 |
| 09CAUTR-071 | M. dentatus | Xin Zhou | 41.0702 | 125.015 | HM405418 | BOLD:ACF1811 |
| 09JPMYS-001 | M. azureus | Takao Nozaki | 35.2833 | 139.583 | HM395285 | BOLD:AAB1495 |
| 09JPMYS-002 | M. azureus | Takao Nozaki | 35.2833 | 139.583 | HM395286 | BOLD:AAB1496 |
| 09JPMYS-003 | M. azureus | Takao Nozaki | 35.2833 | 139.583 | HM395287 | BOLD:AAB1496 |
| 09JPMYS-004 | M. azureus | Takao Nozaki | 35.2833 | 139.583 | HM395288 | BOLD:AAB1496 |
| 09JPMYS-005 | M. azureus | Takao Nozaki | 35.2833 | 139.583 | HM395289 | BOLD:AAB1495 |
| 09JPMYS-006 | M. azureus | Takao Nozaki | 35.2833 | 139.583 | HM395290 | BOLD:AAB1495 |
| 09JPMYS-007 | M. azureus | Takao Nozaki | 35.2833 | 139.583 | HM395291 | BOLD:AAB1495 |
| 09JPMYS-008 | M. azureus | Takao Nozaki | 35.2833 | 139.583 | HM395292 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPMYS-009 | M. azureus | Takao Nozaki | 35.2833 | 139.583 | HM395293 | BOLD:AAB1496 |
| 09JPMYS-010 | M. azureus | Takao Nozaki | 35.2833 | 139.583 | HM395294 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPMYS-011 | M. azureus | Takao Nozaki | 35.2833 | 139.583 | HM395295 | BOLD:AAB1496 |
| 09JPMYS-012 | M. azureus | Takao Nozaki | 35.2833 | 139.583 | HM395296 | BOLD:AAB1496 |
| 09JPMYS-013 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395297 | BOLD:AAB1495 |
| 09JPMYS-014 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395298 | BOLD:AAB1495 |
| 09JPMYS-015 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395299 | BOLD:AAB1495 |
| 09JPMYS-016 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395300 | BOLD:AAB1495 |
| 09JPMYS-017 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395301 | BOLD:AAB1495 |
| 09JPMYS-018 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395302 | BOLD:AAB1495 |
| 09JPMYS-019 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395303 | BOLD:AAB1495 |
| 09JPMYS-020 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395304 | BOLD:AAB1495 |
| 09JPMYS-021 | M. rivularis | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395305 | BOLD:AAB9485 |
| 09JPMYS-022 | M. rivularis | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395306 | BOLD:AAB9485 |
| 09JPMYS-023 | M. rivularis | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395307 | BOLD:AAB9485 |
| 09JPMYS-024 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395308 | BOLD:AAB1495 |
| 09JPMYS-025 | M. rivularis | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395309 | BOLD:AAB9485 |
| 09JPMYS-026 | M. rivularis | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395310 | BOLD:AAB9485 |
| 09JPMYS-027 | M. rivularis | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395311 | BOLD:AAB9485 |
| 09JPMYS-029 | M. rivularis | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395313 | BOLD:AAB9485 |
| 09JPMYS-030 | M. rivularis | Naotoshi Kuhara | 42.7656 | 141.719 | HM395314 | BOLD:AAB9485 |
| 09JPMYS-031 | M. rivularis | Takao Nozaki | 35.1028 | 138.902 | HM395315 | BOLD:ABZ1489 |
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TABLE 2 (Continued)

| Sample ID | Species | Identifier | Lat | Lon | COI-5P <br> Accession | BIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09JPMYS-032 | M. rivularis | Takao Nozaki | 35.1028 | 138.902 | HM395316 | BOLD:ABZ1030 |
| 09JPMYS-033 | M. rivularis | Takao Nozaki | 35.1028 | 138.902 | HM395317 | BOLD:ABZ1030 |
| 09JPMYS-034 | M. rivularis | Takao Nozaki | 35.1028 | 138.902 | HM395318 | BOLD:ABZ1030 |
| 09JPMYS-035 | M. rivularis | Takao Nozaki | 35.1028 | 138.902 | HM395319 | BOLD:ABZ1030 |
| 09JPMYS-036 | M. rivularis | Takao Nozaki | 35.1028 | 138.902 | HM395320 | BOLD:ABZ1030 |
| 09JPMYS-037 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 36.6 | 137.85 | HM395321 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPMYS-038 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 36.6 | 137.844 | HM395322 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPMYS-039 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 36.6 | 137.844 | HM395323 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPMYS-040 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 36.6 | 137.844 | HM395324 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPMYS-041 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 36.6 | 137.844 | HM395325 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPMYS-042 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 36.6 | 137.844 | HM395326 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPMYS-043 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 36.6 | 137.844 | HM395327 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPMYS-044 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 36.6 | 137.844 | HM395328 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPMYS-045 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 36.6 | 137.844 | HM395329 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPMYS-046 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 36.6 | 137.844 | HM395330 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPMYS-047 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 36.6 | 137.844 | HM395331 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPMYS-048 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 36.6 | 137.844 | HM395332 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPMYS-049 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 36.6 | 137.844 | HM395333 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPMYS-050 | M. azureus | Naotoshi Kuhara | 36.6 | 137.844 | HM395334 | BOLD:ACE4254 |
| 09JPTRI-017 | M. moritai | Naotoshi Kuhara | 34.4 | 136.8 | HM395339 | BOLD:ABZ1029 |
| 09JPTRI-018 | M. moritai | Naotoshi Kuhara | 34.4 | 136.8 | HM395340 | BOLD:ABZ1029 |
| 09JPTRI-019 | M. moritai | Naotoshi Kuhara | 35.3538 | 134.899 | HM395341 | BOLD:ABZ7905 |
| 09JPTRI-020 | M. moritai | Naotoshi Kuhara | 35.3538 | 134.899 | HM395342 | BOLD:ABZ7905 |
| 09JPTRI-021 | M. moritai | Naotoshi Kuhara | 35.3538 | 134.899 | HM395343 | BOLD:ABZ7905 |
| 10OFSI-0207 | M. pacificus | Oliver S. Flint, Jr. | 42.58 | 143.49 | HQ967433 | BOLD:AAN4154 |
| 10PROBE-19177 | M. interjectus | Jonathan Witt | 58.6631 | -94.1662 | HQ986594 | BOLD:ACJ9907 |
| 11TVCAD-026 | M. dentatus | Oliver S. Flint, Jr. | 42.9169 | 132.767 | KX295370 | BOLD:ACF1811 |
| 12HMCAD-74 | M. azureus | Hans Malicky | 48.05 | 15.0333 | KX296204 |  |
| 12HMCAD-189 | M. elongatus | Hans Malicky | 18.6 | 100.967 | KX293450 | BOLD:ACH0651 |
| 12IQTRA-0020 | M. azureus | John Morse | 35.479 | 45.195 | KX294075 | BOLD:ACE0060 |
| 12IQTRA-0044 | M. azureus | John Morse | 35.465 | 45.195 | KX292561 | BOLD:ACE0060 |
| ARin-2012F281 | M. azureus | Juha Salokannel | 60.5096 | 26.8798 | KX293677 | BOLD:AAB1494 |
| ARin-2012F282 | M. longicornis | Juha Salokannel | 60.3633 | 23.5674 | KX295573 | BOLD:ACB2178 |
| ARin-2014F096 | M. azureus | Juha Salokannel | 56.79 | 9.94 | KX295349 | BOLD:AAB1494 |
| BC ZSM AQU 00624 | M. azureus | Monika Hess | 49.028 | 11.588 | HQ948142 | BOLD:AAB1494 |
| BIOUG22331-C09 | M. sepulchralis | Kate Perez | 49.034 | -125.553 | KX141327 | BOLD:AAA8765 |
| BIOUG43950-C04 | M. azureus | Kate Perez | 63.5783 | 23.736 |  | BOLD:AAB1494 |
| GBOL12182 | M. azureus | Monika Hess | 50.584 | 6.376 | KX291284 | BOLD:AAB1494 |
| HM09Ma8 | M. azureus | Hans Malicky | 44.4 | 8.26667 | KX143779 | BOLD:AAB1494 |
| HMCAD0111-132 | M. azureus | Hans Malicky | 47.9167 | 13.9 | KX142253 | BOLD:AAB1494 |
| HMCAD0810-2 | M. azureus | Hans Malicky | 40.3 | -7.88333 | KX291447 | BOLD:AAB1494 |
| ID-04401 | M. bifidus | Suvdtsetseg Chuluunbat | 48.144 | 99.783 | KX104225 | BOLD:AAG3713 |
| JSlk-2011F066 | M. azureus | Juha Salokannel | 60.205 | 23.702 | KX141266 | BOLD:AAB1494 |
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TABLE 2 (Continued)

| Sample ID | Species | Identifier | Lat | Lon | COI-5P |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accession |  |  |  |  |  | BIN

## Mystacides rivularis n. sp.

Figs 1-3
Mystacides sp.: Nozaki \& Tanida 2007: 252.

Diagnosis. This species can be distinguished from M. azureus, which is occasionally collected sympatrically with M. rivularis $\mathbf{n}$. sp., by shape of the male segment IX that is strongly extended posteroventrad. Among species of the M. azureus Species Group, this character state is similar to that of M. schmidi Morse \& Yang 2002 from Sri Lanka, but distinguishable from the latter by the long sigmoid spine of segment X . The female of this species is characterized by the manta-ray-shaped plate of the spermathecal sclerite.

Adult. Forewing length: male 6.4-7.6 mm (mean $=7.2 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{n}=10$ ); female $6.8-7.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ (mean $=7.3 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{n}$ $=9$ ). Vertex, thorax, and wings in ethanol brownish black. General morphology typical for the genus. Relative eye size smaller than that in M. azureus for both male and female (Fig. 1).

Male genitalia (Figs 2A-2E). Segment IX $1 / 5$ as long dorsally as tall laterally, $11 / 5$ as long ventrally as tall laterally; in ventral view apicoventral process of sternum $3 / 4$ as long as rest of sternum, with stout base and slender V-shaped lateral arms. Preanal appendages very long and slender. Tergum X antisymmetrical, produced into two
sinuate spines: one spine sigmoid in dorsal aspect, long, extending far beyond apical process of sternum IX; other spine weakly sinuate and shorter than former. Inferior appendages each with dorsomesal lobe oriented vertically, somewhat longer than mid-width in lateral view, its anterior and posterior margins subparallel; posterior margin with three caudal projections: upper caudal projection with acute apex; middle caudal projection narrow and often longest among three projections, with acute apex; lower caudal projection with round apex. Phallus without evident paramere spines or phallicata, with ventromedian spine directed anteroventrad at one third distance from apex and pair of sharp, triangular flanges subapically, apicoventral lip of phallobase constricted subapically in ventral aspect.


FIGURE 1. Eye proportions in analyzed Mystacides spp. An outline drawing of the dorsal view of the head showing the measurement points is inserted in the diagram.

Variation (Figs 3A1-3F3): The shapes of tergum X and inferior appendages are variable geographically. The shorter process of tergum X is about half the length of the longer process in individuals from central Honshû (Figs 3F1-3F3), but the former is prolonged in those from northern Honshû (Figs 3C1-3E1) and almost reaches the tip of the latter in those from Hokkaidô (Figs 3A1-3B2). The middle projection of each inferior appendage tends to be more strongly developed in individuals from Hokkaidô and northern Honshû (Figs 3A1-3E1) than those from central Honshû (Figs 3F1, 3F2) with an exception (Fig. 3F3).

Female genitalia (Figs 2F-2I). Segment IX short in lateral view. Segment X shorter than preanal appendages,
narrowly incised ventromedially in dorsal view. Preanal appendages slender, straight, and setose. Lamellae very long, constricted basally, shape in lateral aspect variable geographically; dorsal margin inflated; apicodorsal corner protruded outward; outer surface slightly concave. Gonopod plates triangular in ventral aspect with pair of posterolateral processes short, rounded and apex extending far beyond apex of segment X. Spermathecal sclerite with pigmented manta-ray-shaped plate, anterior and posterior margins extended anterad and posterad mesally and acute.


FIGURE 2. Mystacides rivularis n. sp. 2A-2E, male genitalia: 2A, left lateral; 2B, dorsal; 2C, ventral; 2D, phallus, left lateral; 2 E , phallus, ventral. $2 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{I}$, female genitalia: 2 F , 2I, left lateral; 2 G , dorsal; 2 H , ventral. Specimens from the type locality, Shimizu-chô, Shizuoka, Honshû (2A-2H) and Tomakomai-shi, Hokkaidô (2I).


FIGURE 3. Variation of male genitalia and map of collection sites of Mystacides rivularis $\mathbf{n}$. sp.; the dorsal aspect of tergum X (left) and left lateral aspect of left inferior appendage (right) for each individual are shown. 3A1, 3A2, Horokanai-chô, Hokkaidô; 3B1, 3B2, Tomakomai-shi, Hokkaidô; 3C1, Tatsuko-machi, Aomori, Honshû; 3D1, Nishimeya-mura, Aomor, Honshû; 3E1, Nishikawa-chô, Yamagata, Honshû; 3F1-3F3, Shimizu-chô, Shizuoka, Honshû. 3G, map of collection sites. Asterisks indicate mirror-reversed images for easy comparison.

Variation: Lamellae in lateral aspect are each subelliptical and rounded apically in individuals from the type locality Kakida-gawa, central Honshû (Fig. 2F), but more nearly parallel-sided, gradually heightened caudally, and obliquely truncate apically in those from Hokkaidô (Fig. 2I).

Holotype: đ̂, Kakida-gawa, Shimizu-chô, Shizuoka, Honshû, Japan, $35.1031^{\circ}$ N, $138.9028^{\circ}$ E, alt. 13 m , 27.iv.2002, TN.
 5.iv.2006, TN.

Other specimens examined. HOKKAIDÔ: Nemuro: 1 q, Shibetsu-chô, Shibetsu-shitsugen, 12.viii.1996, K. Kuribayashi, $1 \circlearrowleft^{\star} 1$, same location, 21.viii.2013, M. Nakatani; $1 \uparrow$, same location, 2-3.viii.2013, M. Nakatani; $1 \delta^{\lambda} 1$, same location, 3.ix.2013, M. Nakatani. Kushiro: 1 ${ }^{\lambda}$, Kushiro-shi, Akan-chô, Ibeshibetsu-gawa R., 6.viii.1990, NK; 1 ${ }^{\lambda}$, same location, 6.viii.1990, NK; $3 \delta^{\lambda} 1$, Shibecha-chô, Gojikkoku, Shirarutoroetoro-gawa R., 16.vii.2009, TI; $2 \widehat{\text { § }}$ Q, same location, 28.vii.2012, TI; $1 \circlearrowleft^{\Uparrow} 2$, Shibecha-chô, Kayanuma, Shirarutoroetoro-gawa R., 16.vii.2009, TI; Kamikawa: 1 $\widehat{ } 1$, Horokanai-chô, small tributary of Shumarinai-gawa R., 10.viii.1999, TI \& A.

Ohkawa; 1 ${ }^{\lambda}$, Horokanai-chô, small tributary of Shumarinai-gawa R., 7.vii.2007, NK. Ishikari: $1 \delta^{\lambda}$, Chitose-shi, Bibi, Bibi-gawa R., 5-26.ix.1993, TI; $1 \delta^{\lambda} 1 q$, same location, 4.viii.2007, TI; $1 \delta^{\lambda} 3$, Eniwa-shi, Izari-gawa R., Eniwa-ôhashi, 11.vii.1999, TI; 1 ', same location, 22.vii.1999, TI; 1ठ, same location, 17.vii.2015, TI; 1q, Sapporoshi, Hitsujigaoka, 24-31.vii.2009, K. Konishi; 1 §, Sapporo-shi, Nopporo-shinrin-kôen, Osawa-guchi, 17.vi.2002, M. Sakurai. Iburi: 3才 5 , Tomakomai-shi, Misawa, Bibi-gawa R., 29.vii.1989, NK; 5才 1 , same location, 17.vii.1990, NK; 1q, same location, 16.viii.1990, NK; 4 $\uparrow$, same location, 18.viii.1991, TI; $2{ }^{\top}$, same location, 12.ix.1993, TI; 1 ${ }^{\lambda}$, same location, 2.viii.1998, NK; 1 ${ }^{\lambda}$, same location, 20.viii.2007, TI \& A. Ohkawa; 5 8 , same location, 31.vii.2009, NK; 2 ${ }^{\lambda}$, Tomakomai-shi, Misawa, small stream, 1.viii.1992, NK; 1 ${ }^{\lambda}$, same location, 15.viii.1992, NK; $5 \delta^{\wedge} 2$, Tomakomai-shi, Uenae, Bibi-gawa R., 22.vii.2001, TI \& A. Ohkawa; $1 \jmath^{\lambda}$, same location, 25.vii.2001, TI; $1 \delta^{\lambda}$, same location, 29.vii.2001, TI; $1 \overparen{c}^{\lambda}$, same location, 30.vii.2001, TI; $3 \circlearrowleft^{\lambda} 2$, same location, 23.viii.2007, TI; $2 \delta^{\lambda} 3$, same location, 16.vii.2008, TI. HONSHÛ: Aomori: $1 \delta^{\lambda}$, Nishimeya-mura, Anmon-gawa R., alt. 240 m, 16.ix.2010, TI; $1{ }^{\lambda}$, Takko-machi, Natsuzaka, Kumahara-gawa R., 18.viii.1996, Suzuki. Yamagata: $3{ }^{\top}$, Nishikawa-chô, Shizu, Buna-no-izumi, 11.ix.2003, TI. Shizuoka: 1q, type locality, 11.iii.1984, reared and



Etymology. The specific epithet (Latin adjective, rivularis $=$ of a brook or small stream) refers to the habitat of this species.

Distribution. Japan (Hokkaidô, eastern Honshû).
Habitat. Adults are often found beside slowly flowing streams. They are sometimes collected with M. azureus sympatrically, but the habitat preference of the new species is narrower than that of the latter.

## Mystacides moritai n. sp.

Figs 1, 4A-4I
Mystacides sp. (af. superatus): Morita 2011, 217.

Diagnosis. Among species of the M. azureus Species Group, the male of this species shares an unusually large caudal projection of the each inferior appendage with that of $M$. superatus from China, but can be distinguished from it by lack of the lower projection of the appendage. Female of this species is similar to that of M. azureus but can be distinguished from it by the shape of the lamellae; in lateral aspect, each is parallel sided or slightly tapered in the apical half in the present species but broadest with a dorsal expansion at midpoint in M. azureus. It also somewhat resembles that of $M$. rivularis $\mathbf{n}$. sp. but can be distinguished from it by the subtriangular plate of the spermathecal sclerite.

Adult. Forewing length: male $6.1-8.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ (mean $=7.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{n}=10$ ); female $6.8-8.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ (mean $=7.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{n}$ $=8$ ). Vertex, thorax, and wings in ethanol brownish black. General morphology typical for the genus. Relative eye size smaller than that in M. azureus for both male and female (Fig. 1).

Male genitalia (Figs 4A-4E). Segment IX $1 / 8$ as long dorsally as tall laterally, $11 / 4$ times as long ventrally as tall laterally; in ventral view apicoventral process of sternum $2 / 3$ as long as rest of sternum, with stout base and slender V-shaped lateral arms. Preanal appendages very long and slender. Tergum X antisymmetrical, produced into two spines; longer spine bent inward at one-third from base in dorsal aspect, extending beyond apical process of sternum IX; other spine half as long as longer one, nearly straight in dorsal aspect with acute apex. Inferior appendages each clavate in lateral view with slender base $1 / 3$ as thick as broad apex; upper caudal projection directed dorsad, as long as wide; middle caudal projection unusually large, curved dorsomesad, tapering to acute apex; lower caudal projection lacking. Phallus without evident paramere spines or phallicata, with ventromedian spine directed anteroventrad at one third distance from apex and pair of sharp, triangular flanges subapically, apicoventral lip of phallobase constricted subapically in ventral aspect.

Female genitalia (Figs $4 \mathrm{~F}-4 \mathrm{H}$ ). Segment IX short. Segment X shorter than preanal appendages, narrowly incised ventromedially in dorsal view. Preanal appendages slender, straight, and setose. Lamellae very long; in lateral aspect each with apical half twice as broad as basal half, somewhat curved downward, parallel sided or slightly tapered in apical half, with round apex; dorsal margin inflated; outer surface slightly concave. Gonopod plates triangular in ventral aspect with short, rounded pair of posterolateral processes; apex extending far beyond apex of segment X. Spermathecal sclerite rhomboid, rounded anteriorly.


FIGURE 4. Mystacides moritai n. sp. 4A-4E, male genitalia from the type locality, Shima-shi, Mie, Honshû: 4A, left lateral; 4B, dorsal; 4C, ventral; 4D, phallus, left lateral; 4E, phallus, ventral. 4F-4H, female genitalia from Tsuruga-shi, Fukui, Honshû: 4 F , left lateral; 4G, dorsal; 4H, ventral. 4I, map of collection sites.

Holotype: đ龴, Honshû, Mie, Shima-shi, Isobe-chô, Natsukusa, $34.359^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 136.766^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, alt. 20 m , 5.vii. 2008 , H. Morita.

Paratypes: $1 \delta^{\lambda}$, same data as holotype; $7{ }^{\lambda}$, Honshû, Hyôgo, Asago-shi, Wadayama, Kudawa, Ishibe-jinjya, 3.xi.2006, K. Inazu \& TI.

Other specimens examined. HONSHÛ: Fukui: 5ð 9 q, Tsuruga-shi, Ikenokôchi-shitsugen, 1.x.2013, TI;
 TI; 4 4 , same location, 11.vi.2016, TI. Hyôgo: 3 ${ }^{\text {T, Asago-shi, Wadayama, Kudawa, Ishibe-jinjya, 19.v.2009, R.B. }}$ Kuranishi.

Etymology. Named moritai after Mr. H. Morita, who first provided us the examined specimens of this species, including the holotype.

Distribution. Japan (western Honshû).
Habitat. Adults of this species have been collected at three sites: beside a small stream with low gradient, from a stream flowing through a small marsh, and from a pond recharged by spring water. Adults of M. azureus also have been collected at all these sites sympatrically.

## Mystacides azureus (Linnaeus 1761)

Figs. 1, 5A-5M, 6A1-6E6

Phryganea azurea Linnaeus 1761: 380.

This species was originally described from Sweden and has been recorded in a broad geographical range from Western and Northern Europe to the Far East, including Japan. Although several authors described or illustrated the male and female of this species (e.g., Mosely 1939; Vshivkova et al. 1997), we provide illustrations of male and female genitalia of individuals from Japan for comparison (Figs 5A-5M, 6A1-6E6). We detected intraspecific variation in the shape of the male genitalia among Japanese individuals, although conspicuous morphological variation was not observed in the female.

Remarks. The males exhibit great variation in the shape of tergum $X$ even among individuals collected at the same time and place. The tergum X is produced into two asymmetrical spines posteriorly: In most populations, one spine is shorter and sinuous in the distal half and the other spine is curved and longer but variable in shape and length. The latter spine is slightly longer than the former and curved mesad in its apical half or bent mesad at midlength in more than half of the examined specimens (short type; Figs 6A1, 6A2, 6B1-6B4, 6C1, 6C2, 6E1-6E3), which type well agrees with European individuals (Kumanski 1988; Mosely 1939). However, in some specimens, the latter is far longer than the former and curved sigmoidally in dorsal aspect (long twist type; Figs 6A5, 6C5, 6C6, 6E5, 6E6). In addition, a few specimens have the longer spine slightly curved outward subapically, representing an intermediate between the two types (Fig. 6A3, 6A4, 6C3, 6C4, 6E4). In most specimens, the longer spine is crossing beneath the shorter.

Frequencies of the long twist type vary geographically. Only a few or no long-twist type individuals were found in specimens collected in Hokkaidô and Kyûshû, but over $20 \%$ of individuals exhibit this type in specimens collected in Honshû and Shikoku (Table 1).

The population in lakes in Ômachi, Nagano, and Honshû, however, exhibit a different type of shape of tergum X from the other populations (Figs $5 \mathrm{~F}-5 \mathrm{~J}$ ): The usually short sinuate spine is usually longer than the curved one (reversal type; Figs 6D1, 6D2), although some specimens have the curved spine extending beyond the sinuate spine (Figs 6D3, 6D4), resembling the intermediate type. Specimens having more extended spines (Fig. 6D5) almost agree with those of the long twist type.

The degree of the posteroventral excisions of the inferior appendages in lateral aspect is also variable (Figs 5A, 5F, 6). The excision of specimens collected in Honshû (Figs 6C-6E) tends to be deeper than that in Hokkaidô (Figs $6 \mathrm{~A}, 6 \mathrm{~B}$ ), but variation of this character within each population is rather small.

The reversal type specimens of the Ômachi population closely resemble illustrations of Mystacides elongatus from China by Yang \& Morse (2000). In addition, our female specimens of this species show no evident variation in genital morphology and are very similar to illustrations those of $M$. elongatus by them. These facts suggest that M. elongatus may be included in the variation of M. azureus. Morphological and molecular studies of M. elongatus specimens from China would be needed to clarify the relation of M. elongatus and M. azureus.
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 Muroto－shi，Sakihama，Sakihama－gawa R．，29．iv．2004，M．Takai； $1 \delta^{\top}($ L $) 1 \uparrow$ ，Nankoku－shi，Nakanogawa－rindô， 29．v．2004，M．Takai； $1 \delta^{\lambda}\left(\right.$ L ），Ochi－chô，Tokoroyama，Ichigaya，21．vii．2004，K．Nio； $1 \delta^{\lambda}$（L），Sukumo－shi，Itchûbara， 28．iv．2001，M．Takai； $1 \delta^{\lambda}$ ，same location，30．iv．2004，M．Takai； $1 \delta^{\lambda}$ ，Tosashimizu－shi，Mochiishi，Kitayamahigashi， 21．vii．2004，K．Nio．KYÛSHÛ：Fukuoka： $1 \circlearrowleft^{\Uparrow} 1$ q，Chikushino－shi，Yoshiki，30．iv．1986，N．Gyotoku； $1 \circlearrowleft^{\Uparrow} 1$ q，same location，9．x．1986，N．Gyotoku；2才，Ukiha－shi，Yoshiimachi，Sakurai，Chikuko－gawa R．，8．iv．1997，TN．Saga：4ठ
 2 ，Tsushima－shi，Kamiagata－chô，Sago，upper reaches of Sago－gawa R．，22．vii．2009，R．B．Kuranishi．


FIGURE 5. Mystacides azureus (Linnaeus 1761). 5A-5J, male genitalia: 5A, 5 F , left lateral; $5 \mathrm{~B}, 5 \mathrm{G}$, dorsal; $5 \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, ventral; $5 \mathrm{D}, 5 \mathrm{I}$, phallus, left lateral; 5 E , 5 J , phallus, ventral. $5 \mathrm{~K}-5 \mathrm{M}$, female genitalia: $5 \mathrm{~K}, 5 \mathrm{M}$, left lateral; 5 L , ventral. $5 \mathrm{~A}-5 \mathrm{E}$, specimens from Tomakomai-shi, Hokkaidô; 5F-5J, 5M, specimens from Ômachi-shi, Nagano, Honshû; 5K, 5L, specimens from Chitoseshi, Hokkaidô.


FIGURE 6. Variation of male genitalia in 5 populations and map of collection sites of Mystacides azureus (Linnaeus 1761); the dorsal aspect of tergum X (left) and left lateral aspect of left inferior appendage (right) for each individual are shown. 6A16A5, Kushiro, Hokkaidô; 6B1-6B4, Nanae-chô, Hokkaidô; 6C1-6C6, Shimoda-shi, Shizuoka, Honshû; 6D1-6D5, Ômachi-shi, Nagano, Honshû; 6E1-6E6, Shima-shi, Mie, Honshû. 6F, map of collection sites. Asterisks indicate mirror-reversed images for easy comparison.


FIGURE 7. The maximum likelihood phylogeny of Mystacides based on the COI barcode sequences. For males of M. azureus (Linnaeus 1761) from Japan, morphological types of segment X are abbreviated to the right of the country names as follows: S, Short type; L, Long-twist type; R, Reversal type.

## Eye measurements

The measurements of the eye length and the distance between eyes revealed that the relative eye size was larger in males than in females in all species and larger in M. azureus than in M. rivularis $\mathbf{n}$. sp. and M. moritai $\mathbf{n}$. sp. in both sexes (Fig. 1). Moreover, the degree of sexual dimorphism was greater in M. azureus than in the other two species. The degree of sexual dimorphism in adult eye size of leptocerid caddisflies has been shown to be related to swarming flight patterns: Species including M. azureus that perform vertical zigzagging movements in swarming tend to have larger eyes in males (Gullefors \& Petersson 1993). The differences of relative eye size between $M$. azureus and the other two species in this study may also be associated with swarming flight patterns, although the flight patterns of the latter two species are unknown. Furthermore, habitat differences may also be related to their relative eye size. While M. azureus mainly inhabits lakes with wide open water surfaces, the latter two species are found in streams and may have a smaller area of mating behavior.

## Mitochondrial phylogeny based on DNA barcodes

The maximum likelihood phylogeny based on COI barcode sequences revealed that the M. azureus Species Group is monophyletic (Fig. 7) for the five species of this group that were included in the analysis (i.e., M. azureus, M. dentatus Martynov 1924, M. elongatus, M. rivularis n. sp., and M. moritai n. sp.). The two new species M. moritai n. sp. and M. rivularis n. sp. were successively basal to the remaining M. azureus Species Group members, each monophyletic. The remaining species of the M. azureus Species Group together form a monophyletic group that was subdivided into two clusters: the East Asian (Japan and China), or East Palearctic-Oriental cluster, and the European plus West Asian (Iraq) or West Palearctic cluster. Members of the European plus West Asian cluster were all M. azureus but the East Asian cluster included M. azureus, M. dentatus, and M. elongatus, suggesting a hypothesis of potential cryptic species within this cluster.

In terms of morphological variation in male genitalia of M. azureus from Japan, 4 individuals of the short type (09JPMYS004-007) and 3 individuals of the long-twist type (09JPMYS001-003) collected on the same day from a single population, at Morito-gawa, were used for DNA analyses. The sequences of these seven individuals were divided into two mtCOI clusters that did not correspond with their respective morphological types. In addition, two individuals of the long-twist type (09JPMYS002, 003) and an individual of the short type (09JPMYS004) have identical COI sequences. Moreover, individuals of the Ômachi population (09JPMYS037, 042-050), males of which are of the reversal type, are paraphyletic and make a mtCOI cluster with individuals of the Morito-gawa population. As a result, mtCOI sequence evidence supports an alternative hypothesis that each morphological type, based on male tergum X , is merely intraspecific variation in a single species, M. azureus. Sequences of other genes should be used to test these competing hypotheses.

In congruence with morphological evidence, our molecular data also suggested that $M$. elongatus and $M$. dentatus from China should be reconsidered whether they are valid species. Because we have not examined the morphology of these two species in detail, a conclusion about their validity is outside the scope of this work and remains left for future work.
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